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Agile, a trend that began in software development has been growing steadily in importance in the light of the unstoppable progress of digitisation in organisations. As traditional management approaches are failing, different organisational designs are needed to keep pace with these rapid developments. Beyond Budgeting is a holistic approach to achieve agility across the organisation.

The Beyond Budgeting Round Table (BBRT) celebrates its 20th anniversary this year. It unites an international community of practitioners and researchers who developed the Beyond Budgeting organisational design and are continually developing it further. Its pioneering achievement and mission is to look at organisations from a completely new perspective and consequently to design them to advance viability for the future.

Beyond Budgeting: Pioneer of an agile organisational design

At the core of Beyond Budgeting lies an agile, holistic approach based on self-organisation. Decentralised leadership and adaptive management processes are the two interlinked key dimensions of the Beyond Budgeting approach. Each of which comprises six principles. Recommendations for action in the form of dos and don’ts, which form the basis for the agility of organisations, are derived from this framework (see table on page 2).

Self-organisation promotes agility

The environment of organisations is becoming more and more demanding due to megatrends such as digitalisation, globalisation or mobility. We are said to live in a VUCA world: V for volatility, U for uncertainty, C for complexity and A for ambiguity. Industries are converging, disruptive business models are penetrating traditional industries, and customers are increasingly buying online. All organisations today are faced with the need to cope with unforeseen events. As the unexpected becomes the norm, ability to plan is massively reduced.

Surprisingly, however, the vast majority of companies still rely on stability and predictability in their organisational design. This is reflected, for example, in rigid hierarchies and centralised planning, which severely restrict a company’s ability to act and react. This raises the question of how organisations can operate in a VUCA world. Agility, meaning flexibility and manoeuvrability, but also greater resilience is essential to remaining viable in an increasingly unpredictable world.

That is why a lot of attention has been paid in recent years to agile methods such as Scrum and Kanban. Their popularity extends far beyond their original use, which was often in the field of software development. These agile methods are based on self-organisation, enabling individual projects in complex software environments to progress successfully. As a next step, the principle of self-organisation is transferred to the organisation as a whole, hence an agile organisational design being established throughout the company. This adds a new dimension that also affects the awareness and the self-image of the management. This is where Beyond Budgeting comes in.

Entrepreneurial (self-) organisation

According to the traditional management idea, order is created through the actions of a body using predict and control: top management. Most business leaders still find it difficult to understand that organisations do not need centralised coordination. Research has shown, however, that centralised, top-down planning and control are not the best way to create order in complex adaptive systems. For organisational researcher Margaret Wheatley the following insight applies: “The two forces that we have placed in opposition to each other – freedom and order – turn out to be partners in generating healthy, well-ordered systems. Effective self-organisation is supported by two critical elements: a clear sense of identity and freedom. In organisations, if people are free to make their own decisions, guided by a clear organisational identity, the whole system develops greater coherence and strength. The organisation will thus become less regulatory, but at the same time more interconnected and aligned”. In other words, effective coordination does not need a coordinator.
Coordination is designed into the system rather than imposed on the system. Good case studies for this organisational design from various regions and industries include dm-drogerie markt (Germany), Toyota (Japan), Trisa (Switzerland), Hilti (Liechtenstein), Svenska Handelsbanken (Sweden), HCL (India), Statoil (Norway), Haier (China) and Morning Star (United States). At dm-drogerie markt, the leading German drugstore chain, a decentralised network of branches was implemented in the early 1990s replacing the hierarchical organisation. Branches were no longer controlled centrally by hierarchically set targets, but were empowered to control themselves through trust and competence. This not only resulted in more satisfied employees and lower employee turnover, it also gave the branches more flexibility to respond to regional and local differences in customer needs.

That is why dm-drogerie markt has been able to remain very successful in today’s fiercely contested drugstore market — in contrast to other players such as Schlecker, which were unable to withstand the competitive pressure. The ability to react appropriately to changes in the market environment is a vital advantage of trust-based, decentralised organisations, according to Beyond Budgeting. Trisa, a medium-sized family business in Switzerland and one of the world’s leading toothbrush manufacturers, is making use of this advantage. By allowing its production managers to decide when to hire more workforce, Trisa can accommodate orders received at short notice that can amount to several million toothbrushes.

In addition, all employees participate in the success of the company and are shareholders. The board of directors is composed of the founding family and employees equally.

"Most business leaders still find it difficult to understand that organisations do not need centralised coordination and control."

**Goodbye to budgetary control**

In practice, Beyond Budgeting has often been regarded as a financial planning concept and has, therefore, been compared with methods such as advanced budgeting or better budgeting. This is misleading because Beyond Budgeting is a holistic organisational design, not a limited financial planning concept.

Nevertheless, as a holistic approach Beyond Budgeting also addresses the inherently rigid and bureaucratic budget-based steering process. Without critical reflection of this process – the epitome of bureaucracy – a sustainable transformation to an agile organisation is highly unlikely, if not impossible to achieve. In almost every organisation a budget represents a financial plan and a financial target at the same time. It thus forms not only a planning instrument for resource allocation but also the yardstick for assessing goal achievement and therefore the basis for distributing bonuses.
In this way, the plan becomes the goal - a serious design error. In other words, this is a perversion of means and end – a phenomenon generally called “displacement of goals”.

Figure: Unhealthy and healthy relationships between goal and plan

In the case of budgets, this inadmissible circular reasoning is associated with serious problems, for instance:
- protracted negotiation games at the budgeting stage
- pointless, time-consuming, and demotivating attempts to justify being over/under budget
- transfer of cash flows to other closing periods to maximise incentives
- unnecessary draining of budget to ensure sufficient funds for future budgets

All this causes enormous damage to the organisation. Nevertheless, budgetary control continues to be a key control instrument for top management – while, in fact, it is an illusion of control! Stephen Hawking, the recently deceased astrophysicist, put it this way: “The greatest enemy of knowledge is not ignorance; it is the illusion of knowledge”.

New approach towards goals

Beyond Budgeting advocates a new approach towards goals and their implementation. The first issue to be addressed concerns the question of where it makes sense to have goals at all. If they are necessary, then they should be generated in a way that does justice to today’s VUCA dynamics. For example, the Swedish bank Svenska Handelsbanken uses relative goals, hence decoupling goal and plan. The ultimate financial goal is a sustainably higher return on equity compared to the average of all competitors. This results in a self-adjusting, relative goal, meaning the goal is dynamic in itself and based on the dynamics of the environment. Since Svenska Handelsbanken introduced this objective in the early 1970s, the company has been operating successfully and is a leader in the markets in which it operates. The other companies mentioned earlier act in a similar manner. Plans, if existing in these companies, are the means to an end but not the end itself. The two dimensions of Beyond Budgeting (see table on page 2) are in balance, which indicates that the approach is both coherent and holistic.

Transformation always begins with oneself!

The journey to self-organisation is not about a simple instrumental change such as the optimisation of an existing system, but about a transformation of the system itself. This requires a change in mindset for traditional organisations and their employees, which must include everyone without exception, no matter the hierarchical level. This means, for example, a shift and redistribution of power and control. The leaders of an organisation, including the top management, must themselves become part of the change process they want for their organisation. Individual self-reflection about the organisation’s future identity and one’s own role – in other words, the quality of one’s awareness – thus becomes the decisive factor. Bill O’Brien, successful and former long-time CEO of the US insurance company Hannover Insurance, sums it up: "The success of an intervention in an organisation depends on the internal constitution of the intervener.”

Change as a permanent process

Change is omnipresent today and will be so in the future. Successful business transformation, therefore, means to have the ability to transform oneself as an organisation in such a way that changes are no longer understood as one-time or occasional change projects, but as a natural process of dynamic and continuous development. Beyond Budgeting is an approach towards positioning a company’s organisational development at this next level in order to stay viable for the future.
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